arXiv:math-ph/0211072v1 29 Nov 2002

Dirac-type tensor equations on a parallelisable manyfolds

N.G.Marchuk [*]

February 4, 2008

Abstract

The goal of this work is to extend Dirac-type tensor equations to a curved space. We take four 1-forms (a tetrad) as a unique structure, which determines a geometry of space-time.

PACS: 04.20Cv, 04.62, 11.15, 12.10

Steklov Mathematical Institute, Gubkina st.8, Moscow 119991, Russia
nmarchuk@mi.ras.ru, www.orc.ru/˜nmarchuk

In [2] we suggest to take the differential forms H, I, K (see item 14 of sect. 1 in [1]) as an additional structure on pseudo-Riemannian space. In the current paper, developing this idea, we take four 1-forms e^a (a tetrad) as a unique structure, which determines a geometry of space-time. A metric tensor is expressed via the tetrad. Hence we arrive at a geometry, which was considered by many authors (see, for example, Møller [6]) as a mathematical model of physical space-time and gravity (according to the Theory of General Relativity the gravity is identified with the metric tensor).

The goal of our work, begining at [1],[2], is to extend Dirac-type tensor equations (see [4]) to a curved space.

---

[*] Research supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research grants 00-01-00224, 00-15-96073.

1 A pseudo-Riemannian space

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a four dimensional differentiable manyfolds with local coordinates x^μ, $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and with a metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\nu\mu}$ such that $g_{00} > 0$, $g = \det\|g_{\mu\nu}\| < 0$ and the signature of matrix $\|g_{\mu\nu}\|$ is equal to -2. The full set of $\{\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu}\}$ is called *a pseudo-Riemannian space* and is denoted by $\mathcal{V}$. The metric tensor defines the Levi-Civita connection, the curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor, and the scalar curvature

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda} &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\kappa}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\kappa} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\kappa} - \partial_\kappa g_{\mu\nu}), && (1)\\
R_{\lambda\mu\nu}{}^{\kappa} &= \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}{}^{\kappa} - \partial_\nu \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}{}^{\kappa} + \Gamma_{\mu\eta}{}^{\kappa}\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}{}^{\eta} - \Gamma_{\nu\eta}{}^{\kappa}\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}{}^{\eta}, && (2)\\
R_{\nu\rho} &= R^{\mu}{}_{\nu\mu\rho}, && (3)\\
R &= g^{\rho\nu} R_{\rho\nu} && (4)
\end{aligned}$$

with symmetries

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda} = \Gamma_{\nu\mu}{}^{\lambda}, \quad R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} = R_{\lambda\rho\mu\nu} = R_{[\mu\nu]\lambda\rho}, \quad R_{\mu[\nu\lambda\rho]} = 0, \quad R_{\nu\rho} = R_{\rho\nu}$$

Let $\top^p_q$ be the set of all tensor fields of rank (q, p) on $\mathcal{V}$. The covariant derivatives $\nabla_\mu : \top^p_q \to \top^p_{q+1}$ are defined via the Levi-Civita connection by the following rules:

1. If $t = t(x),\ x \in \mathcal{V}$ is a scalar function, then

$$\nabla_\mu t = \partial_\mu t.$$

2. If $t^\nu \in \top^1$, then

$$\nabla_\mu t^\nu \equiv t^\nu_{;\mu} = \partial_\mu t^\nu + \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}{}^{\nu} t^\lambda.$$

3. If $t_\nu \in \top_1$, then

$$\nabla_\mu t_\nu \equiv t_{\nu;\mu} = \partial_\mu t_\nu - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}{}^{\lambda} t_\lambda.$$

4. If $u = u^{\nu_1 \ldots \nu_k}_{\lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_l} \in \top^k_l$, $v = v^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_r}_{\rho_1 \ldots \rho_s} \in \top^r_s$, then

$$\nabla_\mu (u \otimes v) = (\nabla_\mu u) \otimes v + u \otimes \nabla_\mu v.$$

With the aid of these rules it is easy to calculate covariant derivatives of arbitrary rank tensor fields. Also we can check the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla_\mu g_{\alpha\beta}=0, \quad \nabla_\mu g^{\alpha\beta}=0, \quad \nabla_\mu \delta^\beta_\alpha=0,\\
&\nabla_\alpha (R^{\alpha\beta}-\frac{1}{2}Rg^{\alpha\beta})=0,\\
&(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu-\nabla_\nu\nabla_\mu)a_\rho = R^\lambda{}_{\rho\mu\nu}a_\lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

for any $a_\rho \in \top_1$.

2 A parallelisable manyfolds

An n-dimensional differentiable manifolds is called *parallelisable* if there exist n linear independent vector or covector fields on it. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a four dimensional parallelisable manifolds with local coordinates $x=(x^\mu)$ and

$$e_\mu{}^a = e_\mu{}^a(x), \quad a=0,1,2,3$$

be four covector fields on $\mathcal{M}$. This set of four covectors are called *a tetrad*. The full set $\{\mathcal{M}, e_\mu{}^a\}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{W}$. Here and in what follows we use greek indices as tensorial indices and latin indices as nontensorial (tetrad) indices, which enumerate covectors.

Let us take the Minkowski matrix

$$\eta_{ab}=\eta^{ab}=\mathrm{diag}(1,-1,-1,-1).$$

Then we can define a metric tensor

$$g_{\mu\nu}=e_\mu{}^a e_\nu{}^b \eta_{ab}, \tag{5}$$

such that

$$g_{\mu\nu}=g_{\nu\mu}, \quad g_{00}>0, \quad g=\det\|g_{\mu\nu}\|<0$$

and the signature of the matrix $\|g_{\mu\nu}\|$ is equal to -2. The Levi-Civita connection $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^\lambda$, the curvature tensor $R^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda\rho}$, the Ricci tensor $R_{\nu\rho}$, the scalar curvature R, and the covariant derivatives ∇_μ are defined via $g_{\mu\nu}$ as in sect. 1. All constructions of [1] (the Clifford product of differential forms, the Spin(1,3) group, Upsilon derivatives Υ_μ, etc.) are valid in the parallelisable manyfolds $\mathcal{W}$.

We raise and lower latin indices with the aid of the matrix $\eta_{ab} = \eta^{ab}$ and greek indices with the aid of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$

$$e^{\nu a} = g^{\mu\nu} e_\mu{}^a, \quad e_{\mu a} = \eta_{ab} e_\mu{}^b.$$

If we take 1-forms $e^a, e_a \in \Lambda_1$

$$e^a = e_\mu{}^a dx^\mu, \quad e_a = \eta_{ab} e^b,$$

then we see that

$$e^a e^b + e^b e^a = 2\eta^{ab}. \tag{6}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} e^a e^b + e^b e^a &= e_\mu{}^a dx^\mu e_\nu{}^b dx^\nu + e_\nu{}^b dx^\nu e_\mu{}^a dx^\mu = \\ &= e_\mu{}^a e_\nu{}^b (dx^\mu dx^\nu + dx^\nu dx^\mu) = e_\mu{}^a e_\nu{}^b 2g^{\mu\nu} = 2\eta^{ab}. \end{aligned}$$

The transformation

$$e^a \;\to\; \check{e}^a = S^{-1} e^a S, \tag{7}$$

where $S \in \mathrm{Spin}(1,3)$, is called *a Lorentz rotation of the tetrad.* Evidently, formula (6) is invariant under Lorentz rotations of the tetrad, i.e.,

$$e^a e^b + e^b e^a = 2\eta^{ab} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \check{e}^a \check{e}^b + \check{e}^b \check{e}^a = 2\eta^{ab}.$$

In the sequel we use the following lemma.

Lemma .

$$e^a U e_a = \begin{cases} 4U & \textit{for } U \in \Lambda_0 \top^p_q, \\ -2U & \textit{for } U \in \Lambda_1 \top^p_q, \\ 0 & \textit{for } U \in \Lambda_2 \top^p_q, \\ 2U & \textit{for } U \in \Lambda_3 \top^p_q, \\ -4U & \textit{for } U \in \Lambda_4 \top^p_q. \end{cases}$$

The proof in by direct calculation.

Let us take a tensor $B_\mu \in \Lambda_2 \top_1$

$$B_\mu = -\frac{1}{4} e^a \wedge \Upsilon_\mu e_a. \tag{8}$$

Theorem . *Under the Lorentz rotation of tetrad (7) the tensor B_μ transforms as*

$$B_\mu \to \check{B}_\mu = S^{-1} B_\mu S - S^{-1} \Upsilon_\mu S.$$

Proof. We have $B_\mu = -\frac{1}{4}e^a \wedge \Upsilon_\mu e_a = -\frac{1}{4}e^a \Upsilon_\mu e_a$. Therefore

$-4\check{B}_\mu = \check{e}^a \Upsilon_\mu \check{e}_a = S^{-1}e^a S \Upsilon_\mu (S^{-1}e_a S) = S^{-1}e^a S(\Upsilon_\mu S^{-1})e_a S + S^{-1}e^a \Upsilon_\mu e_a S + S^{-1}e^a e_a \Upsilon_\mu S = -4S^{-1}B_\mu S + 4S^{-1}\Upsilon_\mu S + S^{-1}e^a S(\Upsilon_\mu S^{-1})e_a S$.

Here we use the formula $e^a e_a = 4$ from the Lemma. It can be checked that $S\Upsilon_\mu S^{-1} \in \Lambda_2 \top_1$. Consequently from the Lemma we get that

$$e^a S(\Upsilon_\mu S^{-1})e_a = 0.$$

These completes the proof.

Note that the set of 2-forms Λ_2 can be considered as the real Lie algebra of the Lie group $\mathrm{Spin}(1,3)$. Hence B_μ belong to this Lie algebra. B_μ is a tensor with respect to changes of coordinates. But, according to the Theorem, under Lorentz rotations of the tetrad B_μ transforms as a connection.

Now we may define operators $\mathcal{D}_\mu = \Upsilon_\mu - [B_\mu, \cdot\,]$ acting on tensors from $\Lambda\top^p_q$ and such that

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu e^a = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_\mu e_a = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_\mu(UV) = (\mathcal{D}_\mu U)V + U\mathcal{D}_\mu V, \quad \mathcal{D}_\mu\mathcal{D}_\nu - \mathcal{D}_\nu\mathcal{D}_\mu = 0.$$

Consider the tensor from $\Lambda_2\top_2$

$$\frac{1}{2}C_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{D}_\mu B_\nu - D_\nu B_\mu + [B_\mu, B_\nu].$$

It can be shown that

$$C_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\, dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\beta.$$

In [1] (see item 14) of sect.1) we define differential forms $H \in \Lambda_1$; $I, K \in \Lambda_2$; $\ell \in \Lambda_4$, which we call *secondary generators of* Λ. These differential forms are connected with the tetrad e^a by the following formulas:

$$\begin{array}{llll} H = e^0, & I = -e^1e^2, & K = -e^1e^3, & \ell = e^0e^1e^2e^3, \\ e^0 = H, & e^1 = IK\ell H, & e^2 = K\ell H, & e^3 = -I\ell H. \end{array}$$

The formula for B_μ from [2]

$$\begin{aligned} B_\mu &= -\frac{3}{8}H\Upsilon_\mu H + \frac{1}{4}(I\Upsilon_\mu I + K\Upsilon_\mu K) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8}H(I\Upsilon_\mu I + K\Upsilon_\mu K)H - \frac{1}{8}IKH\Upsilon_\mu H\,KI - \frac{1}{8}(KI\Upsilon_\mu I\,K + IK\Upsilon_\mu K\,I) \end{aligned}$$

is equivalent to formula (8).

3 Lagrangians and main equations

Consider the invariant

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = R + 4\mathrm{Tr}(\delta B),$$

where R is the scalar curvature, $B = dx^\mu B_\mu$, and the codifferential $\delta : \Lambda_k \to \Lambda_{k-1}$ was defined in [5]. It can be checked that the invariant $\mathcal{L}_2$ doesn't depend on second derivatives of tetrad components $e_\mu{}^a$. Variating the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_2$ with respect to the components of metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, we get the Einstein tensor

$$\epsilon\sqrt{-g}(R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R) = \frac{\partial(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_2)}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \partial_\rho \frac{\partial(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_2)}{\partial g_{\mu\nu,\rho}},$$

where $g_{\mu\nu,\rho} = \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu}$, $\epsilon = 1$ for $\mu = \nu$ and $\epsilon = 2$ for $\mu \neq \nu$. Note that we can easily calculate the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial e_\mu{}^a}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}, \frac{\partial e_{\mu,\rho}{}^a}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta,\rho}}$ using formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\alpha\beta} &= e_\alpha{}^a e_\beta{}^b \eta_{ab}, \\
\frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial e_\mu{}^a} &= \frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta,\rho}}{\partial e_{\mu,\rho}{}^a} = \delta^\mu_\alpha e_{\beta a} + \delta^\mu_\beta e_{\alpha a}, \\
\frac{\partial e_\mu{}^a}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}} &= \frac{\partial e_{\mu,\rho}{}^a}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta,\rho}} = \frac{1}{\delta^\mu_\alpha e_{\beta a} + \delta^\mu_\beta e_{\alpha a}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Finally, we can take the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 \qquad (9) \\
&= 2\mathrm{Tr}(e^0(\Psi^* P + P^* \Psi)) + \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) + (R + 4\mathrm{Tr}(\delta B)),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= dx^\mu(\mathcal{D}_\mu \Psi + \Psi A_\mu + B_\mu \Psi)N - m\Psi E, \\
F_{\mu\nu} &= \mathcal{D}_\mu A_\nu - \mathcal{D}_\nu A_\mu - [A_\mu, A_\nu].
\end{aligned}$$

and the Lagrangians $\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_1$ were defined in sect.6 of [1]. Variating the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to components of Ψ, A_μ and w.r.t. components of metric tensor, we arrive at the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
& dx^\mu(\mathcal{D}_\mu \Psi + \Psi A_\mu + B_\mu \Psi)N - m\Psi E = 0, \\
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\mathcal{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-g}F^{\mu\nu}) - [A_\mu, F^{\mu\nu}] = J^\nu, \qquad (10) \\
& R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg^{\mu\nu} = -T^{\mu\nu},
\end{aligned}$$

where J^ν are defined in (27) of [1] and $T^{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor

$$\epsilon\sqrt{-g}\,T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial(\sqrt{-g}(\mathcal{L}_0+\mathcal{L}_1))}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \partial_\rho \frac{\partial(\sqrt{-g}(\mathcal{L}_0+\mathcal{L}_1))}{\partial g_{\mu\nu,\rho}}.$$

Let us remark that we may insert two constants into Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + c_1\mathcal{L}_1 + c_2\mathcal{L}_2$ in (9) and into equations (10) respectively. Constants c_1, c_2 depend on physical units and on experimental data.

4 Comparing the Dirac equation with the Dirac-type tensor equation

It is well known that the Dirac equation for the electron has the following form in a curved space (see, for example, [7]):

$$\gamma^c e^\mu{}_c(\partial_\mu + i a_\mu - \omega_{\mu ab}\frac{1}{4}[\gamma^a,\gamma^b])\psi + im\psi = 0, \tag{11}$$

where γ^a are complex valued 4×4-matrices with the property $\gamma^a\gamma^b + \gamma^b\gamma^a = 2\eta^{ab}\mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{1}$ is identity matrix, and $\omega_{\mu ab} = \omega_{\mu[ab]}$ is a Lorentz connection. Now we show that the Dirac type tensor equation

$$dx^\mu(\mathcal{D}_\mu\Psi + a_\mu\Psi I + B_\mu\Psi) + m\Psi H I = 0 \tag{12}$$

can be written in the same form (11). A method of reduction of (12) to (11) was developed in [3] for the case of Minkowski space.

Let us take the idempotent differential form $t = t^2 \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}}$

$$t = \frac{1}{4}(1+H)(1-iI)$$

and the left ideal

$$\mathcal{I}(t) = \{Ut \,:\, U \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}}\} \subset \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}}.$$

The exterior forms $t_1, \ldots, t_4 \in \mathcal{I}(t)$

$$t_1 = t, \quad t_2 = Kt, \quad t_3 = -I\ell t, \quad t_4 = -KI\ell t$$

are linear independent and they can be considered as basis elements of $\mathcal{I}(t)$. These differential forms t_k define a map $\gamma \,:\, \Lambda\top^q_p \to M(4,\mathcal{C})\top^q_p$ by the formula

$$U^{\nu_1\ldots\nu_q}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p} t_k = \gamma(U^{\nu_1\ldots\nu_q}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p})^n_k t_n,$$

where $M(4,\mathcal{C})\top^q_p$ is the set of all rank (p,q) tensors with values in 4×4 complex matrices and $\gamma(U)^n_k$ is elements of the matrix $\gamma(U)$ (an upper index enumerates rows and a lower index enumerates columns). It is easily shown that

$$\gamma(UV)=\gamma(U)\gamma(V)$$

for $U\in\Lambda\top^q_q$, $V\in\Lambda\top^s_r$. If we take $dx^\mu=\delta^\mu_\nu dx^\nu\in\Lambda_1\top^1$, then we get

$$dx^\mu t_k=\gamma(dx^\mu)^n_k t_n.$$

Denoting $\gamma^\mu=\gamma(dx^\mu)$, we see that the equality $dx^\mu dx^\nu+dx^\nu dx^\mu=2g^{\mu\nu}$ leads to the equality $\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu+\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu=2g^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{1}$. Also we have

$$B_\mu t_p=\gamma(B_\mu)^k_p t_k.$$

Let us multiply (12) by t. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (dx^\mu(\mathcal{D}_\mu\Psi+a_\mu\Psi I+B_\mu\Psi)+m\Psi HI)t\\
&= dx^\mu(\mathcal{D}_\mu(\Psi t)+ia_\mu(\Psi t)+B_\mu(\Psi t))+im(\Psi t)\\
&= dx^\mu(\mathcal{D}_\mu(\psi^k t_k)+ia_\mu(\psi^k t_k)+B_\mu(\psi^p t_p))+im(\psi^n t_n)\\
&= (dx^\mu t_k)(\partial_\mu\psi^k+ia_\mu\psi^k+\gamma(B_\mu)^k_p\psi^p)+im(\psi^n t_n)\\
&= ((\gamma^\mu)^n_k(\partial_\mu\psi^k+ia_\mu\psi^k+\gamma(B_\mu)^k_p\psi^p)+im\psi^n)t_n.
\end{aligned}$$

As $t_1,\ldots,t_4$ are linear independent, we see that

$$(\gamma^\mu)^n_k(\partial_\mu\psi^k+ia_\mu\psi^k+\gamma(B_\mu)^k_p\psi^p)+im\psi^n=0,\quad n=1,\ldots,4.$$

These four equations can be written as one equation

$$\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu+ia_\mu+\gamma(B_\mu))\psi+im\psi=0, \tag{13}$$

where $\psi=(\psi^1\ \ldots\ \psi^4)^T$. We may write $B_\mu\in\Lambda_2\top_1$ as

$$B_\mu=\frac{1}{2}b_{\mu ab}e^a\wedge e^b=\frac{1}{4}b_{\mu ab}(e^ae^b-e^be^a),$$

where $b_{\mu ab}=b_{\mu[ab]}$. This imply that

$$\gamma(B_\mu)=\frac{1}{4}b_{\mu ab}[\gamma^a,\gamma^b],\quad \gamma^a=\gamma(e^a),\quad \gamma^a\gamma^b+\gamma^b\gamma^a=2\eta^{ab}\mathbf{1}.$$

Note that $\gamma^\mu=\gamma^c e^\mu{}_c$ and the eq. (13) can be written in the form

$$\gamma^c e^\mu{}_c(\partial_\mu+ia_\mu+b_{\mu ab}\frac{1}{4}[\gamma^a,\gamma^b])\psi+im\psi=0. \tag{14}$$

Consequently eqs. (11) and (14) are coinside iff a Lorentz connection is defined by the formula $\omega_{\mu ab}=-b_{\mu ab}$.

References

[1] Marchuk N.G., Nuovo Cimento, 117B, 01, (2002), pp.95-120.

[2] Marchuk N.G., Addendum to the paper "Dirac-type tensor equations with non-Abelian gauge symmetries on pseudo-Riemannain space", to appear in Nuovo Cimento B.

[3] Marchuk N.G., "The Dirac equation vs. the Dirac-type tensor equaton", to appear in Nuovo Cimento B.

[4] Marchuk N.G., Nuovo Cimento 116B, 10, (2001), p.1225.

[5] Marchuk N.G., Nuovo Cimento 115B, 11, (2000), p.1267.

[6] Møller C., Mat. Fys. Medd. Dan. Vid. Selsk. 39, 13, (1978).

[7] Weyl H., Phys. Rev. 77, 699, (1950)